	,	
	1	Judge FILEDENTERED
	<ul><li>2</li><li>3</li><li>4</li><li>5</li></ul>	MAR 26 2003    IN
	6 7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
$\int$	9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  Plaintiff,  NO CRO3-145K
	11 12	v. PLEA AGREEMENT WILLIAM G COUSER,
	13	Defendant )
()	14	The United States of America, by and through John McKay, United States
	15	Attorney for the Western District of Washington, and Donald Currie, Robert
	16	Westinghouse and Susan Loitz, Assistant United States Attorneys for said District, and
Ú	17 18	the defendant, WILLIAM G COUSER, and his attorney, Richard Hansen, enter into
	19	the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)
	20	1 Waiver of Indictment Defendant, having been advised of the right to be
J	21	charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the charge
N <sup>2</sup>	22	brought by the United States Attorney in an Information.
Ü	23	2 The Charge Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this
	24	matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the
	25	following charge contained in the Information. By entering this plea of guilty,  Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document
	26	a Mail Fraud, as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United
	27	States Code, Sections 1341 and 2
	28	barres code, beenone is in and a

3/2//U3 U4: UU PM

USUL-MAM

ATO ADT-LWY

- 3 <u>Elements of the Offense</u> The elements of the offense of Mail Fraud as charged in Count 1, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341, are as follows:
  - a. The Defendant devised or intended to devise a scheme or artifice to defraud;
  - b. For the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud, the Defendant placed or caused to be placed in an authorized depository for mail matter, an item to be sent or delivered by the United States Postal Service or a private or commercial interstate carrier
  - c The Defendant acted knowingly and willfully.
- 4. The Penalties. Defendant understands that the statutory penalties for the offense of Mail Fraud, as charged in Count 1 are as follows.
- a Imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand dollars (\$250,000 00), a period of supervision following release from prison of up to three (3) years, and a One Hundred dollar (\$100 00) penalty assessment. Defendant agrees that the penalty assessment shall be paid at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements. Defendant further understands that if supervised release is imposed and he violates one or more of its conditions, he could be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release that was originally imposed. This could result in Defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

1	5.	Rights	Waived by Pleading Guilty. Defendant understands that, by
2	pleading guil	ty, he	knowingly and voluntarily waives the following rights.
3		a	The right to plead not guilty, and to persist in a plea of not guilty;
4		b	The right to a speedy and public trial before a jury of Defendant's
5	peers,		
6	:	c.	The right to the effective assistance of counsel at trial, including, if
7	Defendant co	ould no	t afford an attorney, the right to have the Court appoint one for
8	Defendant;		
9		d	The right to be presumed innocent until guilt has been established at
10	trial, beyond	a reas	onable doubt,
11		e.	The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against
12	Defendant at	trial;	
13		f	The right to compel or subpoena witnesses to appear on Defendant's
14	behalf at tria	l,	
15		g	The right to testify or to remain silent at trial, at which trial such
16	silence could	not be	used against Defendant, and
17		h	The right to appeal a finding of guilt or any pretrial rulings.
18	6.	Applic	cability of Sentencing Guidelines Defendant understands and
19	acknowledge	s the fo	ollowing.
20		a	The United States Sentencing Guidelines, promulgated by the
21	United States	Sente	ncing Commission, are applicable to this case;
22		b.	The Court will determine Defendant's applicable Sentencing
23	Guidelines ra	nge at	the time of sentencing,
24		c.	If the Court accepts this Plea Agreement, the parties agree,
25	pursuant to F	ederal	Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(C), that a sentence in the range
26	of zero to six	(0-6)	months and no fine is the appropriate disposition of this case.
27		d	If the Court rejects the Plea Agreement and the agreed upon
28	disposition, t	he Def	endant will be afforded the opportunity to withdraw his guilty plea in

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 7 <u>Ultimate Sentence</u>. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose or whether the Court will accept or reject the Plea Agreement and its agreed upon disposition.
- The parties agree that the following facts support the Defendant's guilty plea Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offense:
- a Beginning before April 20, 1996 and continuing to approximately July 30, 1996 WILLIAM G COUSER devised and intended to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud a health care benefit program in connection with the payment for health care benefit services
- In execution of the scheme and artifice to defraud, WILLIAM G. COUSER wrote in the Nephrologist's Note section of the dialysis log relating to a dialysis procedure for a patient whose initials are J.D. that occurred on April 20, 1996, the following. "Dialysis in progress No acute problems. Patient seen and examined." However, on the date of the dialysis procedure, WILLIAM G. COUSER was not. present with the patient at any time during the dialysis WILLIAM G. COUSER knowingly and willfully inserted this false note with the knowledge and understanding that University of Washington Physicians would rely on the representation of his presence made by such note and would submit a claim for his professional services. a direct result of WILLIAM G COUSER'S note, a false claim was submitted to Premera, a private/non-government sponsored insurer. On or about July 30, 1996, WILLIAM G. COUSER caused an item, specifically a payment check from Premera that included \$124.44 for the claim for professional services by WILLIAM G COUSER relating to the dialysis of patient J D. on April 20, 1996, to be deposited in an authorized depository for U.S. mail for delivery to University of Washington Physicians in Seattle, Washington.
- c Waiver of Statute of Limitations. The Defendant acknowledges and knowingly and voluntarily waives any claim, objection or defense he would have to the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 9. Additional Statement of Facts. The Defendant admits that the following is also true.
- a. WILLIAM G. COUSER is a physician, board certified in Nephrology and Internal Medicine.
- b. Since 1982, WILLIAM G 0 COUSER has been a faculty member in the University of Washington's School of Medicine and has had tenure since 1982. From 1982 until February 2002, WILLIAM G. COUSER was the head of the UW Department of Medicine's Division of Nephrology
- c. Throughout his association with the University of Washington, WILLIAM G COUSER has been a member of the University of Washington Physicians (UWP), a corporate entity distinct from the University of Washington UWP submits claims for professional services provided by faculty members of the UW School of Medicine With respect to submitting claims for a physician's professional services for inpatient dialysis, UWP relied on notes by attending physicians from the Nephrology Division in that portion of a patient's dialysis record labeled "Nephrologist's Note".
- d. Since approximately September 1991, WILLIAM G COUSER knew that for a professional claim for a physician's professional services for inpatient dialysis, the attending nephrologist must have been present with the patient at some time during the dialysis procedure.
- e. From approximately September, 1991 through approximately April, 2002, WILLIAM G COUSER wrote notes in the Nephrologist's Note portion of the dialysis record indicating his presence during the dialysis when he knew he may not have been present. In many instances when he wrote such notes, WILLIAM G. COUSER had not, in fact, been present at any time during the dialysis. WILLIAM G COUSER knew that UWP relied on such notes in submitting claims for his professional services for impatient dialysis to government sponsored health care benefit programs including. Medicare, Medicaid and Tricare, and private sponsored health care benefit programs, in

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

26

- f Because WILLIAM G COUSER knowingly and willfully falsely represented his presence during dialysis procedures, and UWP relied on such representations in submitting claims for professional services, false claims for professional services were submitted to government sponsored health care benefit programs including Medicare, Medicaid, and Tricare and private sponsored health care benefit programs, resulting in payments to UWP to which UWP was not entitled
- 10 Restitution. Defendant shall make restitution in the total amount of One Hundred Thousand dollars (\$100,000.00), with credit for any amounts already paid Defendant acknowledges that various health care benefit programs have paid at least this sum for claims that were falsely submitted as described in paragraph 9. The United States acknowledges that a significant portion of this sum did not directly benefit the Defendant financially.
- 11. Loss. The United States and Defendant agree that the correct amount of the loss is One Hundred Thousand dollars (\$100,000.00) for purposes of the United States Sentencing Guidelines
- Possible Exclusion. The Defendant acknowledges that he is subject to any administrative action seeking exclusion pursuant to 42 U S.C. §1320a-7(b) from participation in Medicare, Medicaid and all other federal health care programs as defined in 42 U S.C. §1320a7-b(f). The Defendant acknowledges that the matter of exclusion is not within the jurisdiction of the United States Attorney's Office, and will be addressed by the Department of Health and Human Services
  - 13. Defendant's Research.
- a. The United States Attorney's Office acknowledges that the evidence gathered during the investigation demonstrates that the Defendant is a highly accomplished scientist whose research, teaching and writing on a national and international basis regarding the function and diseases of the kidneys has made an important and substantial contribution to the efforts to treat and prevent kidney disease.

5

6

10 11

12

9

13

.5

16

17

18 19

21

23

20

2425

26

- b. The United States Attorney's Office believes that it would be in the best interest of the national and international medical community and population of patients with kidney disease, that WILLIAM G COUSER be permitted to continue his research and writing regarding kidney function and disease.
  - 14 Defendant's Medical License.
- a There is no allegation or evidence that the Defendant committed malpractice or engaged in any practice that unreasonably compromised the safety and well-being of patients
- b The United States Attorney's Office believes that the public would be best served by the Defendant retaining his license to practice medicine
- c. The Defendant acknowledges that the issue of whether he may retain his license is not within the jurisdiction of the United States Attorney's Office and that the matter of his license(s) will be addressed by the appropriate licensing agency or agencies
- 15 Non Prosecution of Additional Offenses. As part of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by Defendant.
- Voluntariness of Plea. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter this plea of guilty.
- 17 Status of Limitations. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to. (1) 30 days following the date of non-acceptance of the Plea Agreement by the Court, or (2) 30 days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office. The Defendant agrees that the period of tolling described above shall be in addition to any period of tolling previously agreed to between the Defendant and the United States

- 18. Acceptance of Responsibility The United States acknowledges that if Defendant qualifies for an acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to USSG § 3E1.1(a) and if the offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, Defendant's total offense level should be decreased by three (3) levels pursuant to USSG §§ 3E1.1(a) and (b), because Defendant has assisted the United States by timely notifying the authorities of his intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this Agreement If, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should engage in conduct that would warrant an increase in Defendant's adjusted offense level or justify an upward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the probation officer or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentencing enhancement or upward departure based on that conduct.
- 20. Completeness of Agreement. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties

  This Agreement only binds the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District

PLEA AGREEMENT/ William G Couser - 8 USAO 2002R01073

1	of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other
2	office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.
3	Dated this $26$ day of March, 2003.
4	
5	William Onser
6	WILLIAM G COUSER
7	Defendant 2/1
8	
9	X / Z
10	Attorney for Defendant
11	$\sim \sim $
12	( ) and M ( Im a
13	DONALD M. CURRIE
14	Assistant United States Attorney
15	
16	ROBERT WESTINGHOUSE
17	Assistant United States Attorney
18	
19	
20	SUSAN LOITZ Assistant United States Attorney
21	Assistant Cinted dates Priories
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 28	
/ A	n